

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF
THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
CITY OF OAK HILL, TENNESSEE**

March 17, 2009

The Board of Zoning Appeals of the City of Oak Hill, Tennessee met in the City Office. Board members present were Tom Lawless and Steve Wasserman. Also present were City Attorney Bob Notestine, City Manager Kevin Helms, Assistant City Manager M. C. Sparks, Chief Building Official Bill Burdette, and Administrative Assistant Patrick Steiner. Mr. Lawless chaired the meeting, and Ms. Sparks acted as secretary.

Following introductions and preliminary information, the following matters were considered and disposed of as indicated:

1. Call to Order. The meeting was opened at 5:23 p.m. with introductions by Mr. Lawless.
2. Case 07-40 - Judson Baptist Church (4900 Franklin Road) – The electronic sign at Judson Baptist Church was scheduled for a review six months after the sign was installed, according to the decision in December 2007 by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Mr. Phil Jones appeared for the church. Mr. Roy Matlock, 4928 Franklin Road asked if there had been any complaints, and is in favor of the sign. Mr. Wasserman spoke of one call he had, regarding a program advertised rather than the sign itself. Mr. Lawless said he had one compliment on having emergency information posted, and personally thanked the church for this effort on behalf of the community.

Mr. Wasserman indicated he had watched the sign, and it complied with the number of messages allowed, and other conditions of its use. Mr. Lawless asked if the brightness could be reduced, particularly at night. Mr. Helms noted that the December 2007 minutes stated that the installer said the brightness could be adjusted.

The Board indicated that they feel no need for further reviews unless an unforeseen problem arises.

After discussion, on a Motion duly made by Mr. Wasserman, and Seconded by Mr. Lawless, the following was Passed Unanimously:

“Resolved, that the six month review of the electronic sign at Judson Baptist Church finds that there are no current problems with its use, and there is no need for further scheduled reviews; the existing conditions will remain in effect as outlined in the December 2007 Board of Zoning Appeals Minutes. ”

3. Approval of February 17, 2009 Minutes of the Meetings - On a Motion duly made by Mr. Wasserman and seconded by Mr. Lawless, the February 2009 Minutes of the Meeting were approved as amended.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 5:55 p.m. by the unanimous vote of the Board. Mr. Matlock closed by thanking the Board for their commitment, and hard and excellent work.

Chair

City Recorder